

①
P.R. No. 3708/06
Presented by Shri. M. G. Jaiswal
dated 18/7/06

④

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3622/2006 SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER

: ✓ **Uma Shankar Pandey**, S/o Late
Shri Bhagawat Pandey, aged about
56 years, working as a Forester,
Posted as Range Assistant,
Jaynagar, Range-Surajpur, Forest
Division- South Surguja,
Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : 1. **State of Chhattisgarh**,
✓ Through- the Secretary,
Department of Forest, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The District Collector, District
Surguja, Ambikapur (C.G.) ✓

RECEIVED-COPY

CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
6819/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT/WRITS,
ORDER/ORDERS, OR/AND DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS IN
THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI, OR OF ANY
OTHER APPROPRIATE NATURE.



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3621 / सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

देश का दिनांक या आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3-8-2006 Mr. M.P.S. Bhatia, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. As far as the point raised by the petitioner that under the transfer policy 2006-2007, the Collector is not authorized to pass transfer order within the district, in this respect policy dated 26th May, 2006, has been amended vide order dated 5th July, 2006 in which the Collector has been authorized to pass transfer orders. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 to which learned Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition to respondent No.2 within ten days from today and respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, on its own merits, in accordance with law. Interim order granted earlier stands vacated. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	
Soma		Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge

P.T.O.

4/8/06
AY 12/5/12